

Mar 26 04 03:16p

E-rate Elite Services, Inc (410) 581-1209

p.2

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

E-Rate Elite Services, Inc.*Funding Technology Made Easier***Deldra N. Proctor**
General CounselP.O. Box 563
Owings Mills, MD 21117(410) 902-5800
Toll Free: (866) 372-8337
Fax: (410) 581-1209
www.erateelite.com

July 12, 2002

Request for Waiver
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554**RECEIVED**
MAR 26 2004
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Re: Request for Waiver – Howard County School District
Application No. 310851 (Form 471, Funding Year 2002)
Billed Entity No. 126366
Funding Request Nos. 872273, 872276, 872278, 872281, 872291, 872310,
872350, 872448,
CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21

Federal Communications Commission Officer:

This request for waiver addresses the Schools and Libraries Division's (SLD's) determination that the above-referenced Form 471 (Application No. 310851) submitted by Howard County School District ("HCSD") was postmarked and received after the January 17, 2002 deadline. The postcard, postmarked March 29, 2002, informed HCSD that SLD had received their Form 471 application after the close of the filing window. The postcard indicates, "We are holding your application pending final processing of those applications that were filed within the filing widow."¹ On June 13, 2002, HCSD requested SLD provide notification of the status of their 471 application, for appeal purposes.²

As an initial matter, HCSD was not required to submit Form 470 for the services set forth in Form 471 filed on January 24, 2002, as the services therein arise from multi-year contracts set forth in Form 470s previously submitted by HCSD in prior program years.³ The data can be found in HCSD's Form 470 for Program Years 1998-2000. This information was reasonably ascertainable by the SLD.

Notwithstanding the lack of obligation to file, HCSD did compile all the services for the current program year into one Form 470. Pursuant to the SLD-prescribed filing window for Form 471, HCSD attempted to submit its Form 471 application on January 17, 2002 but was precluded from doing so, as the direct result of an SLD web design flaw. The

¹ Exhibit A, Postcard from Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, to Howard County School District, Faye Levine, postmarked March 29, 2002.

² Exhibit B, E-mail to Faye Levine, HCSD, from E-Rate, SLC <questions@universalservice.org>, dated June 13, 2002 1:53 PM

³ See <<http://www.sl.universalservice.com/470/ReviewAll.asp>> Form 470s 936990000328502, 821810000287339, 845180000280534, 9557200001144892.

SLD's web based electronic filing system prohibits an applicant from referencing a Form 470, for new services, that has been posted for less than 28 days. Even in instances such as the present case where the 28 day rule is inapplicable, the applicant is unable to submit the necessary Form 471. In short, the electronic filing system would not process HCSD's timely-filed Form 471 based on the system's detection of a Form 470 for HCSD that was under 28 days old. Based on this unintended "quirk" in the electronic filing system, we respectfully request that the Commission grant this Request for Waiver.

Further, applicants who elect to file their Form 471 applications electronically are subject to restrictions that do not apply to those who manually file applications and are denied the significant benefit of application review by the Program Integrity Assurance Unit (PIA) of the SLD. This disparate treatment of electronic and manual filers has the adverse result of penalizing electronic filers who, despite attempting to timely file materials, must await the final disposition of all funding requests deemed submitted "within the filing window" before having their applications reviewed.

1. Minimum Processing Standards for Filing a Form 471
Do Not Reference the Listing of a Form 470 Number

The minimum processing standards for the FCC Form 471 makes no reference to the Form 470 number as reason for rejecting an application. Information relative to the Block 5 of the Form 471 minimum processing standards only address Items 11, 13, 14, and 23.⁴ Item 12 of the Block 5 on the Form 471 requests the corresponding Form 470 number, for that funding request. A manually filed application, that was timely filed, missing a Form 470 number, would not fail to meet any of the minimum processing standards and therefore would not be rejected. Applicants filing a Form 471 electronically using the SLD web based application, however, are held to a different standard. Applicants who fail to enter a Form 470 number in Item 12 of the Form 471 Block 5 are systematically precluded from completing that Block 5, or continuing with the filing of that 471 application.

In an effort to consistently apply the program procedures, the web-based system should not reject an activity that would not constitute an immediate rejection if the same characteristics were reflected on a manually filed application. We understand that SLD must devise mechanisms to facilitate expeditious administration of the program. However, SLD "must balance the need to minimize administrative costs, while expediting fair and efficient review of applications."⁵ This inconsistent treatment of manually filed Form 471 applications versus those filed electronically, does not provide for fair application of the established program guidelines.⁶

⁴ See SLD website, Form 471 Minimum Processing Standards and Filing Requirements
<<http://www.sl.universalservice.org/reference/471mps.asp>>

⁵ See Request for Waiver by Naperville Community Unit, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order DA 01-73 (Com. Car. Bur. February 22, 2001).

⁶ See Request for Review by Wayata Public Schools, The Federal Communications Commission has deemed, "A rule may be waived where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest."

2. SLD Encourages Applicants to File Electronically

The SLD has acknowledged that the benefits of technology are also accompanied by some risks. Former SLD president Kate L. Moore stated, "Please file both forms electronically," making reference to the Form 470 and Form 471.⁷ Ms. Moore continues, "We know that users will help us in finding quirks and defects." Inevitably there will be some quirks and defects with the implementation of a new system, but building in features that places its users at an unknown disadvantage is inconsistent with the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") intention.⁸

The fair and equitable treatment of manual and electronic filers is especially important given that "For Funding year 5, 85.7 percent of timely applications were filed online."⁹ To accomplish this increase in online filings, positive testimonies were posted to the SLD website in an effort to encourage applicants to use the new certification feature.¹⁰ We understand and appreciate that SLD has continued their attempt to improve the capabilities of the web based filing system, including adding such features as the capabilities to electronically certify applications. Part of the SLD's ongoing improvements must also include reviewing the systematic preclusion of application filings such as the one involved in this matter. When such issues arise, SLD has an obligation to address the matter and to ensure that applications inadvertently precluded are reviewed pursuant to its normal procedures for timely filed materials. Thus, this request for a waiver of the deadline under the circumstances.

3. Applicants Electronically Filing Form 471 Are Precluded From Using a Form 470 Number Posted Within 28 Days and Are Denied the Program Benefit of Review by the Program Integrity Assurance Unit

Applicants are permitted to timely file a manual Form 471, whose Block 5(s) reference a Form 470, that has been posted within 28 days of that filing. These applications are not rejected. SLD's web-based filing system prohibits use of a Form 470 number for new services that has not been posted for a minimum of 28 days. HCSD appreciates the competitive bidding requirements, but contends that the rejection of an application on the basis of the manner in which it is filed is unjust. A violation of the competitive bidding requirements is a decision made by Program Integrity Assurance, once the application enters the review process. The design of an automated parameter to reject these applications during this phase is less than equitable. The impact of the unfair system parameter has not just affected HCSD; we asked.

I was prevented from filing a 471 form for the funding year 2003. Due to the 28-day window for the 470 I missed the deadline for the 471 by 1 day and because of it my application for this year is not being considered. I have used the funding for phone service only and being a library from a small town with limited funds the funding does make a difference for me.

⁷ See SLD website, Letter to Clients from SLD President (November 10, 1999) <<http://www.sl.universalservice.org/whatsnew/111999.asp>>

⁸ See Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order (FCC 02-8), Released January 25, 2002, "Second, we seek to ensure fair and equitable distribution of funds."

⁹ Letter from Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Corporation to Dorothy Attwood, Federal Communications Commission, dated February 28, 2002.

¹⁰ See SLD website, First Applicants Electronically Certify Form 470 and Form 471 (November 28, 2001) <<http://www.sl.universalservice.org/whatsnew/11201.asp#112801a>>

I did not experience any time outs while filling out the form online and in general I do like being able to file that way.

Gale Bradbury
Ledyard Public Libraries
Ledyard, CT

Yes, I've been precluded from filing a 471 due to the 28-day restriction.

Bill Phillips
ISD 15
Saint Francis, MN

I have experienced some problems in the past, as you outline below. Preclusion is one of them, being kicked off the site as it time off is another. I have larger issues that would involve the process as a whole...exclusive of the electronic filing. I feel that the process is a waste of an Administrator's time. Libraries should simply get this money without all the wasted hours in filing. The whole process is nonsensical.

Thanks for allowing this feedback.

Pam Nelson
Alsip-Merionette Park Public Library District
Alsip, IL

4. Evaluation of Compliance with the Competitive Bidding Requirements Requires Program Integrity Assurance Review and Should Not be Delegated to a Systematic Parameter

The administration of the program has changed significantly from its inception. While various administratively driven tools have been implemented, the foremost responsibility of fair and equitable administration must not be compromised. Program Integrity Assurance reviews applications for compliance with program guidelines, in an effort to establish their funding worthiness. During this period various corrections to the Form 471 Block 5 are commonplace. One allowable correction is to the Form 470 number associated with a given Form 471 Block 5.

Program Integrity Assurance review procedures, require SLD personnel to contact the applicant to investigate a possible change of the original Form 470 number listed on the Form 471 application; under defined circumstances.¹¹ If a change is required, an applicant with a timely filed Form 471 application is afforded the opportunity to make such adjustments up to the time that a funding commitment decision is issued. This period could offer an applicant up to fifteen months to identify errors and correct their filing. HCSD has multi-year contract(s) for some of the funding requests on this 471 application, selected others from the state approved contractors list, and has qualifying Form 470 applications that could have been referenced during the PIA review process.

The FCC has ruled, "Our finding is consistent with SLD's policy that, if an applicant made a mistake completing its FCC Form 471 and there was other information contained

¹¹ Exhibit C, E-mail from Daniel DeOliveria, SLD, to Garnet Person, E-Rate Elite Services, Inc., dated June 18, 2002, requesting confirmation or change of the listed 470 number

in the application or provided during the Program Integrity Assurance (PIA) review process that presented the correct information, SLD will grant the appeal so long as the applicant points out the mistake, and demonstrates how SLD could have reasonably ascertained the true nature of the information."¹² The FCC reiterates our position that an evaluation by PIA and the opportunity to alter or supplement the original submission, during that process, by the applicant is within the established parameters of the program. Prohibiting an applicant from availing him or herself of the opportunity to "present correct information," outweighs the administrator's interest in streamlining the review process.

5. HCSO Attempted to Comply With the January 17, 2002 Deadline

HCSO began the process of electronically filing their Form 471 application on January 14, 2002.¹³ HCSO was assigned 471 application number 310851 and security code number 32842, at that time. HCSO attempted to complete 471 application number 310851 online on January 17, 2002, but was precluded from completing the application as a result of the Form 470 number that was entered into the Block 5.¹⁴ FCC rulings have established that "electronic date stamps constitute evidence of when a printout was generated."¹⁵ Such evidence includes "screen printouts of the filled out electronic form, with a computer-generated printout date . . . on a banner at the bottom of the page."¹⁶ Pursuant to FCC rulings, these documents evidence the true date and time – within the filing window – when HCSO had completed all Blocks not prohibited by the system design flaw.

The FCC has held in the Hardee County Order that, "In granting the waivers for applications in the first three funding years, the Commission provided fair treatment to applicants that exercised reasonable efforts to comply with then-existing procedures, by not penalizing them for long mail delivery delay." HCSO attempted to comply with the January 17, 2002 deadline. Designed system restrictions however, made it impossible to complete the application until the expiration of the 28-day waiting period. HCSO completed and submitted the application, once permitted to do so by the system. We believe that Exhibit E demonstrates HCSO's "reasonable effort to comply with then-existing procedures."

6. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, we respectfully request the FCC grant this waiver request and remand HCSO's application to SLD as filed "within the window," under the established policies and procedures for evaluating applications for Funding Year 2002. The attached evidence shows that: (1) HCSO's application relating to multi-year contracts did not require a 28-day waiting period; and (2) the disparate treatment of electronic and manual filers is inconsistent with Program goals. The E-rate program is intended to be

¹² See Request for Review by Dekalb County School System et al., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, DA 01-2065 (Com. Car. Bur. Rel. September 4, 2001) (Dekalb County).

¹³ Exhibit D, Screen Printout of initiation of 471 online filing, dated "1/14/02 3:34 PM"

¹⁴ Exhibit E, Screen Printout of Form 471 (Application 310851), dated "1/17/02 1:44 PM"

¹⁵ See Request for Review by Halifax County School District et al., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 96-21, Order, DA 02-1232 (Com. Car. Bur. Rel. May 23, 2002).


¹⁶ See *Id*

administered in a just and fair manner. This necessarily includes the equal treatment of all applicants.

Just as the SLD has undertaken to treat all applications submitted within the filing window in like fashion, the SLD must also treat manual filers and electronic filers alike. This means that the services and document requests issued by the Program Integrity Assurance Unit to manual filers should be made available to electronic filers, who share an interest in securing much needed technological services. The SLD has encouraged the use of technology to process the voluminous applications. Exercising this option should not come at the expense of funding altogether. However, unless the FCC determines that the program benefits available to manual filers are to be provided to electronic filers, the cost-saving administrative measure implemented by the SLD (electronic filing) will have the adverse affect of penalizing qualified applicants. We do not believe this is the intended result of the electronic filing system, nor do we believe such a result carries out the purpose of the E-rate program.

We trust that the "quirks and defects" in the electronic system that were anticipated by the SLD will not jeopardize HCSD's funding. We, therefore, respectfully request a waiver of the filing deadline.

Very truly yours,



Deidra N. Proctor, Esq.

Additional Contact

Garnet E. Person
Chief Executive Officer
Phone: (410) 902-5800
Fax: (410) 581-1209
E-Mail: gperson@erateelite.com

HOWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
FILING

REQUEST FOR WAIVER
FUNDING YEAR 2002

E-RATE ELITE SERVICES, INC


Garnet E. Person
Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit A

Copy of Postcard Notification from
SLD (Front).

USAC

P.O. Box 7026
3833 Greenway Drive
Lawrence, KS 66044-7026



U.S. POSTAGE

00.21

H METER 505998

Faye Levine
HOWARD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
10910 STATE ROUTE 108
ELLCOTT CITY, MD
21042

ATTENTION:
2002-2003 E-RATE APPLICANTS
Applicant Form Identifier: 470-5

MAILING DATE:
March 29, 2002

54



Exhibit A

- Copy of Postcard Notification from
SLD (Back).

**YOUR FORM 471 HAS BEEN RECEIVED –
BUT SUBMITTED AFTER THE 11:59 P.M. EST,
JANUARY 17 WINDOW CLOSE**

We're sending this card to thank you for your recent Form 471 application but also to let you know that your application was submitted after the 2002-2003 filing window closed at 11:59 p.m. EST on January 17, 2002.

We are holding your application pending final processing of those applications that were filed within the filing window.

For more information about the processing of 2002-2003 applications, about funding for applications filed after the close of the filing window, about appealing the status of your Form 471 application, or about plans for the 2003-2004 application process, please visit our web site at www.sl.universalservice.org or call the Client Service Bureau at 1-888-203-8100.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

Thu, Jul 11, 2002 11:08 AM

From: E-Rate, SLC <question@universalservice.org>
To: 'Faye Levine' <flevine@mail.howard.k12.md.us>
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2002 1:53 PM
Subject: RE: Our Application 471 CASE # 127411

Exhibit B

E-Mail Notification from SLD
Denying Funding for "Out of
Window" Filers (PAGE 1)

Thank you for your inquiry. Unfortunately, the 74 day filing window did close on January 17, 2002. Since SLD has determined that sufficient funds will not be available for any applications filed outside the window, the application will not be considered at this time.

If you have any further questions please feel free to contact our Schools & Libraries Helpline at 888-203-8100 or contact us at question@universalservice.org. Please remember to visit our website for updates (<< <http://www.sl.universalservice.org/> <<http://www.sl.universalservice.org/>> >>).

Thank you.

Universal Service Administrative Company Schools and Libraries Division.
<gmm,jac>

-----Original Message-----

From: Faye Levine [mailto:flevine@mail.howard.k12.md.us
<mailto:flevine@mail.howard.k12.md.us>]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2002 10:42 AM
To: questions@universalservice.org
Cc: Jay Fridkis; Mark Blom; Sydney Cousin; Bruce Venter
Subject: Our Application 471

Our Form 471 for Funding Year 5 was filed several days after the deadline because the Form 470 had to be posted on the internet for 28 days before the Form 471 could be filed. I believe we missed the deadline by 3 or 4 days. At that time I sent an e-mail asking what we should do in order to file an appeal. I was told that we couldn't file an appeal until we had received notification that our application was denied. We have never received anything in writing that says we have been denied. I noted that the information on the web site says that all applications received after the deadline are being denied. We would like to have something in writing that denies our application. Please forward it to me as soon as possible so that

Thu, Jul 11, 2002 11:08 AM

we can start the appeal process. Thank you for your help.

Form 470 Application Number 113260000408276

Our Billed Entity Number is 126366

Faye Levine
Howard County Public Schools
10910 Route 108
Ellicott City, MD 21042
410-313-6700

Exhibit B

E-Mail Notification from SLD Denying
Funding for "Out of Window" Filers
(PAGE 2)

Garnet E. Person

From: Daniel DeOliveira [DDEOLIV@sl.universalservice.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2002 12:09 PM
To: GPERSON@ERATEELITE.COM
Subject: E-rate application # 330063

Exhibit C

E-Mail Requesting Verification or
Change of Form 470 number
(June 18, 2002)

Dear Garnet Person,

Regarding application # 330063, Yuma Elementary School District, for funding year 5:

For FNN's 891226 and 891227-

In the establishing 470 application # 706770000406639 ?

Please verify that this is correct or supply the correct 470 application #.

Sincerely,

Dan DeOliveira

Phone: 973 428 7354

FAX: 973 599 6512, Attn: DAN

E-mail: DDEOLIV@sl.universalservice.org

471 Application Notification

YEAR 5 - 471 - PARTIAL

1/14/02 1:34 PM

[HOME](#) [CANCEL](#) [SAVE & EXIT](#) [HELP](#)**FCC Form 471**

Services Ordered and Certification Form

[Block 1](#)[Block 2 & 3](#)[Block 4](#)[Block 5](#)[Block 6](#)

Applicant's Form Identifier: 470-5

Entity Number: 126366

Contact Person: Faye Levine

Phone Number: (410) 313-6700.

IMPORTANT

Please record this application's information in a secure place for future reference

471 Application Number: 310851

Entity Number of Billed Entity (Applicant): 126366

Security Code Number: 32842

[Continue >>](#)Copyright 1997-2000
Schools and Libraries Division**Exhibit D**Initiation of 471
Application# 310581
(January 14, 2002)

Exhibit E*Form 471 for year 5
Partially Complete*

1/17/02 1:44 PM

Form 471 - Block 1

Form 471 Application# 310581

Blocks 1-4

(January 17, 2002) PAGE 1

HOME

CANCEL

HELP

FCC Form 471

Services Ordered and Certification Form



Block 1

Block 2 & 3

Block 4

Block 5

Block 6

Approval by OMB 3060-0806

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 4 hours

This form asks schools and libraries to list the eligible telecommunications-related services they have ordered and estimate the annual charges for them so that the Fund Administrator can set aside sufficient support to reimburse providers for services.

Please read instructions before beginning this application.

Applicant's Form Identifier:

(Insert your own code to identify THIS Form 471)

470-5

Form 471 Application #:

(inserted by Administrator)

310851

Block 1: Billed Entity Information

(The "Billed Entity" is the entity paying the bills for the services listed on this form.)

1. Name of Billed Entity HOWARD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT		2. Funding Year: Year 5 (07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003)	
3. Entity Number 126366			
4. Billed Entity (Applicant) Address, etc.			
a. Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number 10910 STATE ROUTE 108			
City ELLICOTT CITY		State MD	Zip Code + 4 2104 - 6101
b. Telephone Number (10 digits + extension) (410) 311 - 6701		c. Fax Number (10 digits) (410) 311 - 6891	
d. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) flevine@mail.howard.k12.md.us			
5. Type Of Application (Select only one type) <input type="radio"/> School (public or non-public school) <input checked="" type="radio"/> School District (LEA; public or non-public (e.g., diocesan) local district representing multiple schools) <input type="radio"/> Library (library (i.e. outlet/branch, system)) <input type="radio"/> Consortium			
If you selected "Consortium" in #5 above, check here <input type="checkbox"/> if any members are ineligible non-governmental entities.			
6a. Contact Person's Name: Faye Levine		Copy 4a-d above to 6b-e below	
Fill in every item of the Contact Person's information below that is different from Item 4 above, then select your preferred mode of contact.			
6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number <input type="radio"/> 10910 STATE ROUTE 108			
City		State	Zip Code + 4

Form 471 - Block 2&3

1/17/02 1:44 PM

i. Internet access(for libraries). How many buildings have Internet access before and after your order?		
j. Internet access: How many computers (or other devices) with Internet access before and after your order?	5500	5800
k. Other technology outcomes?	N/A	N/A

[Block 1](#)[Reset Page](#)[Block 4](#)[Print Preview](#)

Copyright 1997-2000
Schools and Libraries Division

Exhibit E

Form 471 Application# 310581
Blocks 1-4
(January 17, 2002) PAGE 2

Exhibit E

Block 4 Display

Form 471 Application# 310581

1/17/02 1:44 PM

Blocks 1-4

(January 17, 2002) PAGE 3

HOME

CANCEL

SAVE & EXIT

HELP?

FCC Form 471

Services Ordered and Certification Form



Block 1

Block 2 & 3

Block 5

Block 6

Applicant's Form Identifier: 470-5

Entity Number: 126366

Contact Person: Faye Levine

Phone Number: (410) 313-6700

Bottom

Block 4 Discount Calculation Worksheet A for Schools/School Districts (Display)

Type "A" Worksheet No. 383398			
1. Name of School: <u>HAMMOND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22170		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 665	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 15	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.255%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 26600	
1. Name of School: <u>HAMMOND MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22171		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 658	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 20	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 3.039%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 26320	
1. Name of School: <u>LAUREL WOODS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22173		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 445	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 129	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 28.988%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 22250	
1. Name of School: <u>MURRAY HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22174		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 569	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 112	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 19.683%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 23450	
1. Name of School: <u>FOREST RIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22175		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 655	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 68	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 10.381%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 25200	
1. Name of School: <u>FULTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22302		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 611	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 14	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.291%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 24440	
1. Name of School: <u>PATUXENT VALLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22386		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 760	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 125	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 16.447%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 31100	
1. Name of School: <u>BOLLMAN BRIDGE ELEM SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22387		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 662	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 97	
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 14.652%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 25480	
1. Name of School: <u>CLARKSVILLE MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22803		
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 757	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 1	

Exhibit E

Block 4 Display

Form 471 Application# 310851

11/17/02 1:44 PM

Blocks 1-4

6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 28.761%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 22600
1. Name of School: <u>OWEN BROWN MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 21.251%	4. Total # of Students: 574 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22912 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 122 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 28700
1. Name of School: <u>LONG REACH HIGH SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 13.519%	4. Total # of Students: 1472 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22913 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 199 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 58480
1. Name of School: <u>ATHOLTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 8.114%	4. Total # of Students: 511 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22914 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 43 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 20440
1. Name of School: <u>HAMMOND HIGH SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 8.816%	4. Total # of Students: 1293 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22916 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 114 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 51720
1. Name of School: <u>GUILFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 24.547%	4. Total # of Students: 497 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22917 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 122 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 24850
1. Name of School: <u>ELKRIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 9.541%	4. Total # of Students: 807 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22973 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 77 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 32280
1. Name of School: <u>ELKRIDGE LANDING MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 7.843%	4. Total # of Students: 612 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22974 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 48 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 24480
1. Name of School: <u>ROCKBURN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 3.062%	4. Total # of Students: 653 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22976 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 20 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 21120
1. Name of School: <u>MAYFIELD WOODS MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 18.815%	4. Total # of Students: 574 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22977 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 108 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 22960
1. Name of School: <u>DEEP RUN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 16.993%	4. Total # of Students: 765 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22978 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 130 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 20600
1. Name of School: <u>MOUNT VIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.423%	4. Total # of Students: 784 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 23031 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 19 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 13360
1. Name of School: <u>GLENELG HIGH SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 1072	2. Entity Number: 23925 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 18

Exhibit E

Block 1 Display

Form 471 Application# 310851

1/17/02 1:44 PM

Blocks 1-4

6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 8.663%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 32320
1. Name of School: <u>PATAPSCO MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 9.722%	4. Total # of Students: 720 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22861 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 70 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 28800
1. Name of School: <u>ELLCOTT MILLS MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 4.310%	4. Total # of Students: 464 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22866 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 20 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 18560
1. Name of School: <u>WORTHINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.435%	4. Total # of Students: 627 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22867 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 9 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 25080
1. Name of School: <u>ILCHESTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.160%	4. Total # of Students: 862 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22869 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 10 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 34480
1. Name of School: <u>HOWARD HIGH SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 6.781%	4. Total # of Students: 1165 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22870 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 79 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 46600
1. Name of School: <u>RUNNING BROOK ELEM SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 47.784%	4. Total # of Students: 316 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 60%	2. Entity Number: 22873 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 151 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 18960
1. Name of School: <u>LONGFELLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 21.551%	4. Total # of Students: 348 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22874 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 75 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 17400
1. Name of School: <u>HARTER'S CHOICE MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 22.410%	4. Total # of Students: 589 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22875 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 132 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 29450
1. Name of School: <u>CEDAR LANE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 13.675%	4. Total # of Students: 117 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22876 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 16 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 4680
1. Name of School: <u>WILDE LAKE MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 26.034%	4. Total # of Students: 580 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22877 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 151 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 20000
1. Name of School: <u>WILDE LAKE HIGH SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 16.959%	4. Total # of Students: 1539 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22879 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 261 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 61560
1. Name of School: <u>BRYANT WOODS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 304	2. Entity Number: 22880 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 87

Exhibit E

Block 4 Display

Form 471 Application# 310851

01/17/02 1:44 PM

6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 0.132%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 20% (January 17, 2002) PAGE 6	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 15140
1. Name of School: <u>CLARKSVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22805	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 5
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 452	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 18080
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.106%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>POINTERS RUN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22806	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 2
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 1134	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 22680
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 0.176%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 20%	
1. Name of School: <u>MOUNT HEBRON HIGH SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22845	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 92
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 1585	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 63400
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 5.804%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>WAVERLY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22846	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 8
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 602	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 24080
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.328%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>MANOR WOODS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22847	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 26
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 672	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 25880
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 3.869%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>ST JOHN'S LANE ELEM SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22849	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 95
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 674	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 26960
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 14.094%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>CENTENNIAL LANE ELEM SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22854	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 5
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 664	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 11280
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 0.753%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 20%	
1. Name of School: <u>DUNLOGGIN MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22855	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 44
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 556	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 22740
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 7.913%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>NORTHFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22856	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 9
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 634	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 25360
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.419%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>CENTENNIAL HIGH SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22857	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 17
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 1277	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 5080
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.331%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>BURLEIGH MANOR MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22858	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 8
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 529	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 21160
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.512%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	
1. Name of School: <u>HOLLIFIELD STATION ELEM SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 22860	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 70
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 808	

Exhibit E

Block 4 Display

Form 471 Application# 310851

1/17/02 1:44 PM

Block 1-4

6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 28.618%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50% (January 1, 2002) PAGE: 70%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 15200
1. Name of School: <u>SWANSFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 27.050%	4. Total # of Students: 573 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22882 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 155 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 28650
1. Name of School: <u>ATHOLTON HIGH SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 7.932%	4. Total # of Students: 1185 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22888 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 94 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 47400
1. Name of School: <u>CLEMENS CROSSING ELEM SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.181%	4. Total # of Students: 596 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22890 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 13 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 23840
1. Name of School: <u>THUNDER HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 3.674%	4. Total # of Students: 381 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22897 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 14 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 15240
1. Name of School: <u>PHILIPS LUCK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 28.282%	4. Total # of Students: 594 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22901 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 168 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 29700
1. Name of School: <u>WATERLOO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 7.496%	4. Total # of Students: 667 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22902 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 50 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 26680
1. Name of School: <u>TALBOTT SPRINGS ELEM SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 41.020%	4. Total # of Students: 490 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 60%	2. Entity Number: 22903 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 201 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 29400
1. Name of School: <u>STEVEN'S FOREST ELEM SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 11.897%	4. Total # of Students: 311 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22905 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 37 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 12440
1. Name of School: <u>OAKLAND MILLS HIGH SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 15.767%	4. Total # of Students: 945 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22906 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 149 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 37800
1. Name of School: <u>OAKLAND MILLS MIDDLE SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 26.351%	4. Total # of Students: 444 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 50%	2. Entity Number: 22907 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 117 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 22200
1. Name of School: <u>JEFFERS HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban 6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 12.753%	4. Total # of Students: 494 7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	2. Entity Number: 22909 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 63 8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 19760
1. Name of School: <u>DASHER GREEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u> 3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 452	2. Entity Number: 22911 5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 130

Exhibit E

Block 4 Display

Form 471 Application# 310851

1/17/02 1:44 PM

Block 1-4 (January 17, 2002) PAGE 8		
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 1.679%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 42880
1. Name of School: <u>DUSHY PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 23926	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 630	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 16
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.539%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 25200
1. Name of School: <u>GLENWOOD MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 23927	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 790	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 21
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.658%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 31600
1. Name of School: <u>LISDON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 23973	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 603	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 14
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.321%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 24120
1. Name of School: <u>WEST FRIENDSHIP ELEM SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 24030	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 411	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 10
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.433%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 16440
1. Name of School: <u>RIVER HILL HIGH</u>	2. Entity Number: 202343	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 1755	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 17
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 0.968%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 20%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 35100
1. Name of School: <u>GORMAN CROSSING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 202617	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 430	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 22
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 5.116%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 17200
1. Name of School: <u>TRIAD PHILIA RIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 212847	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 673	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 3
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 0.891%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 20%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 13450
1. Name of School: <u>LIME KILN MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 212850	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 561	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 14
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 2.495%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 22440
1. Name of School: <u>BONNIE BRANCH MIDDLE SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 230504	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 523	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 62
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 11.854%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 40%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 20220
1. Name of School: <u>RESERVOIR HIGH SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 230509	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 0	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 0
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 0.000%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 20%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 0
1. Name of School: <u>HOMewood SCHOOL</u>	2. Entity Number: 230507	
3. Urban or Rural: Urban	4. Total # of Students: 0	5. # of Students Eligible for NSLP: 0
6. %Students Eligible for NSLP (#5 / #4): 0.000%	7. Discount % from Discount Matrix: 20%	8. Weighted Product (#4 X #7): 0

Total number of students (#4) for all entities listed in this worksheet: 46122

Block 4 Display

1/17/02 1:44 PM

Total weighted product (#) for all entities listed in this worksheet: 182103

Weighted Average Discount % for Shared Services (#8 total / #4 total X 100) for this worksheet: 39%

[Top](#)[Add New Entity](#)[Add New Type "A" Worksheet](#)[Block 2&3](#)[Remove This Worksheet](#)[Copy This Worksheet](#)[Block 5](#)[Print Preview](#)

Copyright 1997-2000
Schools and Libraries Division

Exhibit E

Form 471 Application# 310851

Block 1-4

(January 17, 2002) PAGE 9

HP OfficeJet G Series G85
Personal Printer/Fax/Copier/Scanner

Fax-History Report for
Confidential
(410) 581-1209
Jul 12 2002 7:04pm

Last Fax

<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Identification</u>	<u>Duration</u>	<u>Pages</u>	<u>Result</u>
Jul 12	6:56pm	Sent	1-202-418-0187	7:54	22	OK

Result:

OK - black and white fax
Okay color - color fax



E-Rate Elite Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 563, Owings Mills, Maryland 21117
Telephone: (410) 902-5800
Fax: (410) 581-1209

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	Kathy Tofigh	FROM:	E-Rate Elite Services, Inc.
COMPANY:	FCC	DATE:	6/09/2003
FAX NUMBER:	1-202-418-7361	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	22
PHONE NUMBER:	1-202-418-1553		
RE:	Howard County School District		

☐ URGENT ☒ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS

Please call and let us know you have received this fax at (410)902-5800



E-Rate Elite Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 563, Owings Mills, Maryland 21117
Telephone: (410) 902-5800
Fax: (410) 581-1209

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	Greg Lipscom	FROM:	E-Rate Elite Services, Inc.
COMPANY:	FCC	DATE:	3/26/04
FAX NUMBER:	202-418-6957	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	6
PHONE NUMBER:	202-418-8200		
RT:	Howard County Public School s/Appeals		

☐ URGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☒ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Mr. Lipscom,

Per our conversation this afternoon, I have enclosed a copy of just the letter for the Howard County Appeal. Should you need any further documentation, please feel free to contact our office.

E-RATE ELITE SERVICES, INC.